

A MODEL STRUCTURE ON $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$

AMRANI ILIAS

ABSTRACT. In this article, we construct a cofibrantly generated Quillen model structure on the category of small topological categories $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. It is Quillen equivalent to the Joyal model structure of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories and the Bergner model structure on $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$.

INTRODUCTION

In the section 1, we construct a Quillen model structure on the category of small topological categories $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ [1]. The main advantage is the fact that all objects in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ are fibrant. We show that this model structure is Quillen equivalent to the model structure on the category of small simplicial categories $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ defined in [3].

Why we are interested on topological categories? In [8], it is shown that any model category $\mathbf{M}$ is naturally enriched over $\mathbf{sSet}$ or $\mathbf{Top}$. The enrichment give us a higher homotopical information about $\mathbf{M}$.

In the topological setting, the cohomology theories are defined directly from the mapping space in the model category of topological spectra. Our future goal is to define algebraic $\mathcal{K}$ -theory [2] for a larger class of categories.

1. CATEGORY OF SMALL TOPOLOGICAL CATEGORIES.

In this article, the category of weakly Hausdorff compactly generated topological spaces will be denoted by $\mathbf{Top}$ which is simplicial monoidal model category. Before to start the main theorem of this section we will introduce some notations and definitions.

A topological category is a category enriched over $\mathbf{Top}$. The Category of all (small) topological categories is denoted by $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. The morphisms in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ are the enriched functors. It is complete and cocomplete category.

Theorem 1.1. [1] *The category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ admit a cofibrantly generated model structure defined as follow.*

The weak equivalences $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ satisfy the following conditions.

- WT1 : *The morphism $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(Fa, Fb)$ is a weak equivalence in the category $\mathbf{Top}$.*
- WT2 : *The induced morphism $\pi_0 F : \pi_0 \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \pi_0 \mathbf{D}$ is a categorical equivalence in $\mathbf{Cat}$.*

Date: April 30, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55, 18.

Key words and phrases. Model Categories, ∞ -categories.

The fibrations are the morphisms $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ which satisfy :

FT1 : The morphism $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(Fa, Fb)$ is a fibration in $\mathbf{Top}$.

FT2 : For each objects a and b in $\mathbf{C}$, and a weak equivalence of homotopy $e : F(a) \rightarrow b$ in $\mathbf{D}$, there exists an object a_1 in $\mathbf{C}$ and a weak homotopy equivalence $d : a \rightarrow a_1$ in $\mathbf{C}$ such that $Fd = e$.

More over, the set I of generating cofibrations is given by :

CT1 : $|U\partial\Delta^n| \rightarrow |U\Delta^n|$, for $n \geq 0$.

CT1 : $\emptyset \rightarrow \{x\}$, where $\emptyset$ is the empty topological category and $\{x\}$ is the category with one object and one morphism.

The set J of generating acyclic cofibrations is given by:

ACT1 : $|U\Lambda_i^n| \rightarrow |U\Delta^n|$, for $0 \leq n$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$.

ACT2 : $\{x\} \rightarrow |\mathcal{H}|$ where $\{\mathcal{H}\}$ as defined in [3].

Remark 1.2. All objects in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ are fibrant.

2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

We start by a useful lemma which gives us conditions to transfer a model structure by adjunction.

Lemma 2.1. [13], proposition 3.4.1] Let an adjunction

$$\mathbf{M} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{G} \\ \xleftarrow{F} \end{array} \mathbf{C}$$

where $\mathbf{M}$ is cofibrantly generated model category, with I generating cofibrations and J generating trivial cofibrations. We pose

- (1) W The class of morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$ such the image by F is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{M}$.
- (2) F The class of morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$ such the image by F is a fibration in $\mathbf{M}$.

We suppose that the following conditions are verified:

- (1) The domain of $G(i)$ are small with respect to $G(I)$ for all $i \in I$ and the domains of $G(j)$ are small with respect to $G(J)$ for all $j \in J$.
- (2) The functor F commutes with directed colimits i.e.,

$$F\text{colim}(\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{C}) = \text{colim}F(\lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{C}).$$

- (3) Every transfinite composition of weak equivalences in $\mathbf{M}$ is a weak equivalence.
- (4) The pushout of $G(j)$ by any morphism f in $\mathbf{C}$ is in W.

Then $\mathbf{C}$ form a model category with weak equivalences (resp. fibrations) W (resp. F). More over it is cofibrantly generated with generating cofibrations $G(I)$ and generating trivial cofibrations $G(J)$.

We prove the main theorem using 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. The pushout of $|U\Lambda_i^n| \rightarrow |U\Delta^n|$ by a morphism $F : |U\Lambda_i^n| \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a weak equivalence.

Proof. See 5.6 □

Lemma 2.3. The pushout of $\{x\} \rightarrow |\mathcal{H}|$ by $\{x\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a weak equivalence for all $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}$ the set of objects of $\mathbf{C}$ without the object $\{x\}$ touched by the morphism $\{x\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. We note by x, y objects of $|\mathcal{H}|$. The goal is to prove that h defined in the following pushout is a weak equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{x\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ |\mathcal{H}| & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D} \end{array}$$

Observe that there is an other double pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{x\} \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \{x, y\} \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow h' \\ |\mathcal{H}| \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}. \end{array}$$

Which is a consequence of:

$$|\mathcal{H}| \sqcup \mathcal{O} \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{O} \sqcup \{x, y\}} \mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\} = |\mathcal{H}| \bigsqcup_{\{x, y\}} \mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\} = |\mathcal{H}| \bigsqcup_{\{x\}} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}.$$

The morphism h' is a natural extension of h , i.e., $h' \circ i = h$.

On the other hand, the counit $c : |\mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C}| \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a weak equivalence. Consider the following pushout in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{x\} \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \{x, y\} \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{sing}(\mathbf{C}) \sqcup \{y\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ |\mathcal{H}| \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}'. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Set}}$ is a model category, we have that $f = f' \circ i$ is a weak equivalence. Consequently $|f|$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$.

As before f' is an extension of f .

Using the fact that the functor $|-|$ commutes with colimits, the diagram of the following double pushout permit to conclude:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & |\mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C}| & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{C} & \\ & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i & \\ \{x, y\} \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & |\mathrm{sing}(\mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\})| & \xrightarrow{c} & \mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow |f'| & & \downarrow h' \\ |\mathcal{H}| \sqcup \mathcal{O} & \longrightarrow & |\mathbf{D}'| & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbf{D}. \end{array}$$

In Fact,

$$m : \mathbf{D} = (|\mathcal{H}| \sqcup \mathcal{O}) \star |\text{sing}(\mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\})| \rightarrow (|\mathcal{H}| \sqcup \mathcal{O}) \star (\mathbf{C} \sqcup \{y\}) = \mathbf{D}'$$

is a weak equivalence by 5.8. We have seen that $|f|$ is a weak equivalence, so by the property "2 out of 3" we conclude that h is a weak equivalence. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *The functor sing commutes with directed colimits.*

Proof. Let λ be an ordinal and let

$$\mathbf{C} = \text{colim}_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}_{\lambda},$$

a directed colimit in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. If a' and b' are two objects in $\mathbf{C}$, then by definition, there exists an index t such that they are represented by $a, b \in \mathbf{C}_t$, and $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a', b')$ is a colimit of the following diagram:

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{C}_t^{a,b}}(a, b) \rightarrow \dots \text{Map}_{\mathbf{C}_s}(a_s, b_s) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{C}_{s+1}}(a_{s+1}, b_{s+1}) \rightarrow \dots$$

where $\mathbf{C}_t^{a,b}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{C}_t$ with only two objects a, b . Since the functor $\text{Ob} : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and the functor $\text{sing} : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ commute with directed colimits, we have that $\text{sing} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ commutes with directed colimits. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *The objects $|U\Lambda_i^n|$, $|U\Delta^n|$ and $|\mathcal{H}|$ are small in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$*

Proof. It is a consequence of the fact that $U\Lambda_i^n$, $U\Delta^n$, $\mathcal{H}$ are small in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ and $\text{sing} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ commutes with directed colimits. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *The transfinite composition of weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ is a weak equivalences.*

Proof. It is a consequence that the transfinite composition of weak equivalences in $\mathbf{sSet}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}$ is a weak equivalence. Note that $\pi_0 : \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ commutes with colimits because it admit a right adjoint: the Functor which correspond to each topological enriched category $\mathbf{C}$ an trivially enriched category i.e., we forget the topology of $\mathbf{C}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. The category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ is a cofibrantly generated model category Quillen equivalent to $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$.

3. GRAPHS AND CATEGORIES

In this paragraph, we define an adjunction between $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ and the categories of enriched graphs on $\mathbf{Top}$. This adjunction is constructed in the particular case where the set of objects is fixed. We will denote $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ the category of small enriched categories over $\mathbf{Top}$ with fixed set of objects $\mathcal{O}$, the morphisms are those functors which are identities on objects. By the same way, we define the category of small graphs enriched over $\mathbf{Top}$ by $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ with a fixed set of vertices $\mathcal{O}$. There exists an adjunction between $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ and $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ given by the forgetful functor and the free functor. Before starting, we define the free functor between graphs and categories. First we study the case where $\mathcal{O}$ is a set with one element.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a right adjoint to the forgetful functor $U : \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ where $\mathbf{Mon}$ is the category of topological monoids.*

Proof. Let X in $\mathbf{Top}$. we define

$$L(X) = * \sqcup X \sqcup (X \times X) \sqcup (X \times X \times X) \sqcup \dots;$$

it is a functor from $\mathbf{Top}$ to topological monoids.

It is easy to see that $L : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$ is a well defined functor. In fact, it is the desired functor. Let M be a topological monoids, a morphism of monoid $L(X) \rightarrow M$ is given by a morphism of non pointed topological spaces $X \rightarrow U(M)$. This morphism extends in a unique way in a morphism of monoids if we consider the following morphisms in $\mathbf{Top}$:

$$X \times X \cdots \times X \rightarrow M \times M \cdots \times M \rightarrow M.$$

We conclude that:

$$\mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, U(M)) = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{Mon}}(L(X), M).$$

□

For a generalization of the precedent adjunction to an adjunction between $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ and $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$, we do ass follow: We pose $\mathbf{O}$ the trivial category with set of object $\mathcal{O}$. for each graph Γ in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ we define the set of the following categories indexed by a pair of element $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$

$$\Gamma_{a,b}(c, d) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(c, d) & \text{if } c = a \neq b = d \\ L(\Gamma(c, d)) & \text{if } a = c = b = d \\ \emptyset & \text{if } c \neq d \text{ and } a \neq c \wedge b \neq d \\ * = id & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let Γ a graph in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. we define the free category induced by the graph as a free product in the category $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ of all categories of the form $\Gamma_{a,b}$, more precisely

$$L(\Gamma) = \star_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}} \Gamma_{a,b}.$$

By the free product, we mean the following colimit in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$:

$$\text{colim}_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}} \Gamma_{a,b}.$$

4. REALIZATION

Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a simplicial model category (i.e., tensored and cotensored in a suitable way). The category $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{M}]$ is a model category with Reedy model structure (cf [7]) where the weak equivalences are defined degreewise.

Definition 4.1. The realization functor

$$|-| : [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{M}] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$$

is defined as follow:

$$\bigsqcup_{\phi: [n] \rightarrow [m]} M_m \otimes \Delta^n \xrightleftharpoons[d_1]{d_0} \bigsqcup_{[n]} M_n \otimes \Delta^n \longrightarrow |M_\bullet|$$

o $d_0 = \phi^* \otimes id$ and $d_1 = id \otimes \phi$.

Lemma 4.2. *Since $\mathbf{M}$ is a simplicial category, the functor $|-|$ admit a right adjoint:*

$$(-)^\Delta : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{M}] : M \mapsto M^{\Delta^n}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *[7], VII, proposition 3.6] Let $\mathbf{M}$ a simplicial model category and $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{M}]$ a Reedy model category, then the realization functor*

$$|-| : [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{M}] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$$

is a left Quillen functor.

Now, we specify to $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Top}$. In this particular case, $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$ is a monoidal category (the monoidal structure is defined degree wise from the monoidal structure of $\mathbf{Top}$). So, the realization functor $|-| : [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ commutes with the monoidal product (cf [6], chapitre X, proposition 1.3).

Corollary 4.4. The realization functor $|-| : [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ preserve the homotopy equivalences.

In the practice, the lemma 4.3 is difficile to use. It is quite-difficult to show that an object in $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{M}]$ is Reedy cofibrant. In l'appendice A of [12], Segal gives us an alternative solution in the particular case of $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$.

Lemma 4.5. *There exist a functor $||-|| : [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$, called **good realization** with the following properties:*

- (1) *Let $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ a morphism in $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$ such that if $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is a weak equivalence for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $||f_\bullet|| : ||X_\bullet|| \rightarrow ||Y_\bullet||$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Top}$;*
- (2) *There exists a natural transformation $\mathcal{N} : ||-|| \rightarrow |-|$, with the property that for all **good simplicial topological space** $X_\bullet$, the natural morphism:*

$$\mathcal{N}_{X_\bullet} : ||X_\bullet|| \rightarrow |X_\bullet|$$

is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Top}$;

- (3) *The natural morphism $||X_\bullet \times Y_\bullet|| \rightarrow ||X_\bullet|| \times ||Y_\bullet||$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Top}$.*

For the details we refer to [12].

Lemma 4.6. *There exists an endofunctor $\tau : [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$ and a natural transformation $\mathcal{Q} : \tau \rightarrow id$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *$\tau X_\bullet$ is a good simplicial topological space for all $X_\bullet \in [\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$;*
- (2) *The natural morphism $\mathcal{Q}_n : \tau_n(X_\bullet) \rightarrow X_n$ is a weak equivalence for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- (3) *The natural morphism $||X_\bullet|| \rightarrow |\tau(X_\bullet)|$ is a weak equivalence;*
- (4) *Finally , we have $\tau_0(X_\bullet) = X_0$.*

Corollary 4.7. Let $f_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ a morphism in $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$, such that f_n is a weak equivalence for all n , then

$$|\tau(f_\bullet)| : |\tau(X_\bullet)| \rightarrow |\tau(Y_\bullet)|$$

is a weak equivalence of topological spaces.

Proof. It is a direct consequence from 4.5 and 4.6. □

We can see the functor τ as kind of cofibrant replacement. It is useful to know how to describe the functor τ .

Definition 4.8. [[12], Appendice A] Let $A_\bullet$ a simplicial topological space and σ a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We pose:

- (1) $A_{n,i} = s_i A_n$.
- (2) $A_{n,\sigma} = \bigcap_{i \in \sigma} A_{n,i}$.
- (3) $\tau_n(A_\bullet)$ is a union of all subsets $[0, 1]^\sigma \times A_{n,\sigma}$ of $[0, 1]^n \times A_n$.

The morphism $\tau(A_\bullet) \rightarrow A_\bullet$ collapses $[0, 1]^\sigma$ and inject $A_{n,\sigma}$ in A_n .

Lemma 4.9. *The functor τ sends homotopy equivalences to homotopy equivalences.*

Proof. Let $h : X_\bullet \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y_\bullet$ be a homotopy between t and s . By definition of τ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n(X_\bullet \times [0, 1]) &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in \{1, \dots, n\}} [0, 1]^\sigma \times (X_\bullet \times [0, 1])_{n,\sigma} \\ &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in \{1, \dots, n\}} ([0, 1]^\sigma \times X_{n,\sigma} \times [0, 1]) \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \{1, \dots, n\}} [0, 1]^\sigma \times X_{n,\sigma} \right) \times [0, 1] \\ &= \tau_n(X_\bullet) \times [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $\tau(h) : \tau(X_\bullet) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \tau(Y_\bullet)$ is a homotopy between $\tau(t)$ and $\tau(s)$. $\square$

Definition 4.10. a strong section $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continues application $i : Y \rightarrow X$ such taht $f \circ i = id_Y$ and such that there exists a homotopy between $i \circ f$ and id_X which fix Y .

Corollary 4.11. The functor τ preserve strong sections .

Proof. It is a consequence of the lemma 4.9 and that τ is a functor so it preserves the identities. $\square$

Corollary 4.12. If X is a constant simplicial topological space, then $\mathcal{Q}_X : \tau(X) \rightarrow X$ admit a strong section.

Proof. The section $i : X \rightarrow \tau(X)$ is induced by the identity on X . To show that it is a strong section, it is suffissant to see that $\tau_n(X) = [0, 1]^n \times X$ by definition. $\square$

5. PUSHOUTS IN $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{V}}$

We define and compute some (simple) pushouts in the category of small enriched categories $\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{Cat}$. In our example $\mathbf{V}$ is the category $\mathbf{sSet}$ or $\mathbf{Top}$. For more details see ([11], A.3.2).

Definition 5.1. Let $U : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{V}}$ be a functor defined as follow:
For each object $S \in \mathbf{V}$, $U(S)$ is the enriched category with two objects x and y such that $\mathbf{Map}_{U(S)}(x, y) = S$.

Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ an enriched category on $\mathbf{V}$. We want to describe explicitly the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
US & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbf{C} \\
\downarrow Uf & & \downarrow \\
UT & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}
\end{array}$$

It is enough claire that the objects of $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are the same. The difficult par is to define $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}$.

Let $w, z \in \mathbf{C}$ and define the following sequence of objets in $\mathbf{V}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathbf{C}}^0 &= \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(w, z). \\
M_{\mathbf{C}}^1 &= \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(y, z) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(w, x). \\
M_{\mathbf{C}}^2 &= \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(y, z) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(y, x) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(w, x). \\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, an object of $M_{\mathbf{C}}^k$ is given by a finite sequence of the form

$$(\sigma_0, \tau_1, \sigma_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k, \sigma_k)$$

where

$$\sigma_0 \in \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(y, z), \sigma_k \in \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(w, x), \sigma_i \in \mathbf{Map}(y, x)$$

for $0 < i < k$, and $\tau_i \in T$ for $0 < i \leq k$.

We define $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)$ as a quotient $\bigsqcup_k M_{\mathbf{C}}^k$ relative to the following relations:

$$(\sigma_0, \tau_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \sim (\sigma_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{j-1}, \sigma_{j-1} \circ h(\tau_j) \circ \sigma_j, \tau_{j+1}, \dots, \sigma_k),$$

when τ_j is an element of $S \subset T$.

The category $\mathbf{D}$ is equipped with the following associative composition:

$$(\sigma_0, \tau_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \circ (\sigma'_0, \tau'_1, \dots, \sigma'_l) = (\sigma_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_k, \sigma_k \circ \sigma'_0, \tau'_1, \dots, \sigma'_l).$$

Observe that there is a natural filtration on $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)$:

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(w, z) = \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)^0 \subset \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)^1 \subset \dots$$

where $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)^k$ is defined as image of $\bigsqcup_{0 \leq i \leq k} M_{\mathbf{C}}^i$ in $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)$ and

$$\bigcup_k \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)^k = \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z).$$

The most important fact is that $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)^k \subset \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)^{k+1}$ is constructed as pushout of the inclusion: $N_{\mathbf{C}}^{k+1} \subset M_{\mathbf{C}}^{k+1}$, where $N_{\mathbf{C}}^{k+1}$ is a subobject of $M_{\mathbf{C}}^{k+1}$ of $(2m+1)$ -tuples $(\sigma_0, \tau_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$ such that $\tau_i \in S$ for at less one i .

5.1. Monads. The main goal of this section is to generalize the section 2 de l'article [5] to the categories enriched over $\mathbf{Top}$.

Every adjunction define a monad and a comonad. We are interested on the particular adjunction

$$\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}} \xrightleftharpoons[U]{L} \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$$

We have a monad $T = UL$ and a comonad $F = LU$. The multiplication on T is denoted by $\mu : TT \rightarrow T$ and the unity $\eta : id \rightarrow T$, the comultiplication by $\psi : F \rightarrow FF$ and finally the counity by $\phi : F \rightarrow id$. The T -algebras are exactly those graphs which have a structure of a category (composition).

Notation 5.2. The category of small categories enriched over $\mathbf{Top}$ and with fixed set of objects $\mathcal{O}$ is noted by $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$.

We note by $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sCat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ the category of presheaves $[\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}]$ and $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sGraph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ the category of presheaves $[\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}]$.

If we note $[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$ by $\mathbf{sTop}$ then we have

$$\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sCat}_{\mathbf{Top}} = \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sTop}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sGraph}_{\mathbf{Top}} = \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{sTop}}.$$

5.1.1. *Simplicial resolution.* Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an object of $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$,

We define the iterated composition of F by :

$$F^k = \underbrace{F \circ F \cdots \circ F}_k.$$

The comonad F gives us a simplicial resolution $\mathbf{C}$ (cf [5]) defined as follow:

$$F_k \mathbf{C} = F^{k+1} \mathbf{C},$$

With faces and degeneracies:

$$F_k \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{d_i = F^i \phi F^{k-i}} F_{k-1} \mathbf{C}$$

$$F_k \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{s_i = F^i \psi F^{k-i}} F_{k+1} \mathbf{C}$$

The category of compactly generated spaces $\mathbf{Top}$ is a simplicial model category (tensored and cotensored over $\mathbf{sSet}$) So we have :

- (1) In $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sCat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ we have the morphism $f : F_{\bullet} \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, where $\mathbf{C}$ is sow as a constant object in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sCat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ and $f_k = \phi^{k+1}$.
- (2) The morphism f admit a section $i : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow F_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}$ in the category $\mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{sTop}}$. The section i is induced by the unity of the monad T i.e., $\eta_{U\mathbf{C}} : U\mathbf{C} \rightarrow ULUC$;
- (3) The adjunction

$$[\Delta^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{|-|} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^{\Delta}} \end{array} \mathbf{Top},$$

induce the following adjunction

$$\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sTop}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{|-|} \\ \xleftarrow{(-)^{\Delta}} \end{array} \mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}},$$

since the realization functor is monoidal.

- (4) The realization of the morphism f in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sCat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ induce a weak equivalence i.e., $|f| : \mathbf{Map}_{|F_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}|}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, b)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Top}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$.

Remark 5.3. The realization functor $| - |$ does not "see" the category structure, but only the graph structure.

More generally, for all $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ the following morphism:

$$F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C}) \star \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \star \mathbf{D}$$

admit a strong section $\mathbf{C} \star \mathbf{D} \rightarrow F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C}) \star \mathbf{D}$ in the category $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{sGraph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. In fact, The category $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ is monoidal (nonsymmetric) with monoidal product $\times_{\mathcal{O}}$ which is a generalization of ([10], II, 7). A topologically enriched category is a monoid with respect to this monoidal product. The free product $\mathbf{C} \star \mathbf{D}$ is constructed in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ as

$$\mathcal{O}^c \sqcup_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{C}' \sqcup_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{D}' \sqcup_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathbf{C}' \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{C}') \sqcup_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathbf{D}' \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{D}') \sqcup_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathbf{C}' \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{D}') \sqcup_{\mathcal{O}} (\mathbf{D}' \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{C}') \dots$$

where $\mathbf{C}'$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}'$) is a correspondant graph of $\mathbf{C}$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}$) without identities and $\mathcal{O}^c$ is the trivial category obtained from the set $\mathcal{O}$. So $\mathbf{C} \star \mathbf{D} \rightarrow F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C}) \star \mathbf{D}$ is induced by the section $i : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C})$ and $id : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$. consequently the morphism

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C} \star \mathbf{D}}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{|F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C}) \star \mathbf{D}|}(a, b) = \mathbf{Map}_{|F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{C})| \star \mathbf{D}}(a, b)$$

is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{Top}$ for all objects $a, b \in \mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ and let Γ a graph in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{Top}}$, the the induced morphism :*

$$L(\Gamma) \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow L(\Gamma) \star \mathbf{D}$$

is a weak equivalence in the category $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\mathbf{C}' = L(\Gamma)_{a,b} \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow L(\Gamma)_{a,b} \star \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}'$ is an equivalence for all $(a, b) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$. If $a \neq b$, it is a direct consequence of the lemma 5.6, where we replace S by $\emptyset$ and T by X . So $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}'}(w, z) = \bigsqcup_k M_{\mathbf{C}}^k$ and respectively $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}'}(w, z) = \bigsqcup_k M_{\mathbf{D}}^k$. But $M_{\mathbf{C}}^k$ is equivalent to $M_{\mathbf{D}}^k$ since $\mathbf{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{D}$. We conclude that $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}'}(w, z)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}'}(w, z)$.

If $a = b$, we note the edges from a to a of the graph Γ by X . Then we use the precedent case if we remark that $\mathbf{C}' = L(\Gamma)_{a,b} \star \mathbf{C}$ is simply the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(\emptyset) & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ U(X) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbf{C}' \end{array}$$

The morphism f send the two objects of $U(\emptyset)$ to $a \in \mathbf{C}$, so, by the lemma 5.6 we have that $L(\Gamma)_{a,a} \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow L(\Gamma)_{a,a} \star \mathbf{D}$ is a weak equivalence. consequently $L(\Gamma) \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow L(\Gamma) \star \mathbf{D}$ is a weak equivalence by a possibly transfinite composition of weak equivalences. $\square$

Lemma 5.5. *Let $i : X \rightarrow Y$ an inclusion and a weak equivalence of topological spaces and $i(X)$ colsed in Y such that there exists a homotopy $H : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ which verify the following conditions:*

- (1) $H(-, 0) = id_Y$
- (2) $H(i(x), t) = i(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
- (3) $H(-, 1) = s$ with $s \circ i = id_X$.

then the morphism g of the pushout :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow g \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D \end{array}$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We remind that $D = Y \cup_X Z$. To simplify notation we denote the image of $y \in Y$ in D by y , respectively z for the image of $z \in Z$ in D .

Since i admit a retraction, g admit also a retraction noted by s' and induced by s . It means that we have an inclusion of Z in D via g because of $s' \circ g = id_Z$. In fact, $s' : D \rightarrow Z$ is defined as follow:

- (1) $s'(z) = z$ for $z \in Z$.
- (2) $s'(y) = s(y)$ for $y \in Y$.

This new section s' is well defined by $s'(\psi(x)) = \psi(x)$ and $s'(i(x)) = i(x)$ but in D we have $i(x) = \psi(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We resume the situation in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z & & \\ \downarrow i & & \downarrow g & \searrow id & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y \cup_X Z & & \\ & \searrow \psi \circ s & & \searrow s' & \\ & & & & Z \end{array}$$

We construct the homotopy $H' : D \times [0, 1] \rightarrow D$ as follow:

- (1) $H'(-, 0) = id_D$.
- (2) $H'(z, t) = z$ if z is in Z .
- (3) $H'(y, t) = H(y, t)$ for all y in Y .

This homotopy is well defined. In fact, it is enough to prove that the gluing operation is well define. We have $\psi(x) = i(x)$ in D , then $H'(i(x), t) = H(i(x), t) = i(x)$ by definition, on the other hand $H'(\psi(x), t) = \psi(x)$. Since $i(X)$ is closed in Y , then $i(X)$ is closed in D . We conclude that H' is well defined. More over $H'(y, 0) = H(y, 0) = y$ and so $H'(-, 0)$ is the identity.

By simple computation of $H'(-, 1) : D \rightarrow D$ we have that $H'(z, 1) = z$ for all $z \in Z$ and $H'(y, 1) = H(y, 1) = s(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. So, $H'(-, 1) = s'$, That means the morphism $s' : D \rightarrow Z \subset D$ is a weak equivalence since it is homotopic to the identity. Consequently g est aussi is a homotopy equivalence because $s' \circ g = id$. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *With the precedent notation of graphs 5, if we pose $f : S = |\Lambda_i^n| \rightarrow T = |\Delta^n|$, then, $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(w, z) \subset \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(w, z)$ is a weak equivalence $\forall w, z \in \mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. We remind here that $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{Top}$. Since all objects in $\mathbf{Top}$ are fibrant, so f admit a section s . On the other hand, the inclusion $N_{\mathbf{C}}^{k+1} \subset M_{\mathbf{C}}^{k+1}$ is a weak

equivalence and admit also a section. We will do the demonstration for the case $k = 2$. We use the following notations:

$$(5.1) \quad A_0 = \mathbf{Map}_C(y, z) \times S \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, x) \times S \times \mathbf{Map}_C(w, x)$$

$$(5.2) \quad A_1 = \mathbf{Map}_C(y, z) \times S \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, x) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_C(w, x)$$

$$(5.3) \quad A_2 = \mathbf{Map}_C(y, z) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, x) \times S \times \mathbf{Map}_C(w, x)$$

The evident inclusions are weak equivalences which admit sections induced by $s : A_0 \rightarrow A_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

We define the complement of N_C^2 , which consist on tuples (a, s_1, b, s_2, c) in $\mathbf{Map}_C(y, z) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, x) \times T \times \mathbf{Map}_C(w, x)$ such that $s_1, s_2 \notin S$. We will do our argument in low dimension $n = 1$, the rest is similar. The space $T \times S \cup_{S \times S} S \times T$ is a gluing of two intervals $[0, 1]$ at the point 0 and $T \times T$ is simply $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. If we pose $f : X = T \times S \cup_{S \times S} S \times T \rightarrow T \times T = Y$, we are exactly in the situation of the lemma 5.5 i.e., there exist a homotopy between X and Y which is identity map on X . If we rewrite N_C^2 by

$$N_C^2 = A_1 \bigcup_{A_0} A_2 = X \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, z) \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, x) \times \mathbf{Map}_C(w, x),$$

and M_C^2 by

$$M_C^2 = Y \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, z) \times \mathbf{Map}_C(y, x) \times \mathbf{Map}_C(w, x),$$

The induced morphism $N_C^2 \rightarrow M_C^2$ verify the condition of the lemma 5.5. Consequently, the pushout of $N_C^2 \subset M_C^2$ by $N_C^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_D(w, z)^1$ is also a weak equivalence. Which means that the inclusion $\mathbf{Map}_D(w, z)^1 \subset \mathbf{Map}_D(w, z)^2$ is a weak equivalence. By the same argument we prove the statement for all k and use the fact that a transfinite composition of weak equivalences is a weak equivalence. So

$$\mathbf{Map}_C(w, z) \cdots \subset \mathbf{Map}_D(w, z)^k \subset \mathbf{Map}_D(w, z)^{k+1} \cdots \subset \mathbf{Map}_D(w, z)$$

is a weak equivalence. □

Corollary 5.7. Let $\mathbf{M}$ in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$, then $F_i \mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow F_i \mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{D}$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ for all $0 \leq i$.

Proof. It is enough to see that $F = LU$ and applied the lemma 5.4 by putting $\Gamma = U\mathbf{M}$. □

Lemma 5.8. Let $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$, and $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ a weak equivalence. Then

$$\mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{D}$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. We have seen by 5.7 that

$$h_i : F_i(\mathbf{M}) \star \mathbf{C} \rightarrow F_i(\mathbf{M}) \star \mathbf{D}$$

is a weak equivalence for all $0 \leq i$. Consider the following commutative diagram in $\mathcal{O} - \mathbf{Graph}_{\mathbf{sTop}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tau(F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}) \star \mathbf{C}) & \xrightarrow{\tau(h_{\bullet})} & \tau(F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}) \star \mathbf{D}) & & \\
 \downarrow \tau(t) & \searrow f_{\bullet} & \downarrow h_{\bullet} & \searrow g_{\bullet} & \\
 & F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}) \star \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & F_{\bullet}(\mathbf{M}) \star \mathbf{D} & \\
 & \downarrow \tau(h) & \downarrow \tau(s) & \downarrow s & \\
 \tau(\mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{C}) & \xrightarrow{\tau(h)} & \tau(\mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{D}) & & \\
 \searrow f & \downarrow t & \searrow g & & \\
 & \mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbf{M} \star \mathbf{D} &
 \end{array}$$

The morphism t and s are homotopy equivalences. By 4.4, the morphisms $|t|$ and $|s|$ are also homotopy equivalences (of underlying graphs).

The morphisms $\tau(t)$ and $\tau(s)$ are homotopy equivalences by 4.11. And by 4.4, the morphisms $|\tau(t)|$ and $|\tau(s)|$ are homotopy equivalences.

The morphism $|\tau(h_{\bullet})|$ is a weak equivalence 4.7.

By the property "2 out of 3" $|\tau(h)|$ is a weak equivalence.

The morphisms f and g are homotopy equivalences by 4.12. So $|f|$ and $|g|$ are also homotopy equivalences by 4.4.

We conclude by the property "2 out of 3" that $|h|$ is a weak equivalence and so h is a weak equivalence.

□

6. ∞ -CATEGORIES (QUASI-CATEGORIES)

In the mathematical literature, there are many models for ∞ -categories, for example the enriched categories on Kan complexes [3], The categories enriched over $\mathbf{Top}$ as we saw before, and the the quasi-categories defined by Joyal. More precisely Joyal constructed a new model structure on $\mathbf{sSet}$, voir [9], where the fibrant object are by definition quasi-categories (∞ -categories). We introduce the notion of **quasi-groupode** which generalize the notion of groupoids in the classical setting of categories. We remind also the definition of **coherent nerve** for the enriched categories on $\mathbf{sSet}$ and $\mathbf{Top}$.

Definition 6.1. Une **quasi – category** is a simplicial set X which has a lifting property for all $0 < i < n$:

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_i^n & \xrightarrow{\forall} & X \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \exists & \downarrow \\ \Delta^n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \end{array}$$

It is important to remark that the condition $0 < i < n$ codify the law composition up to homotopy. Sometimes, we will call such simplicial complexes by weak Kan complexes. For example, if $\mathbf{C}$ is a classical category, then the nerve $N_{\bullet}\mathbf{C}$ is a quasi-category with an additional property: The lifting, is in fact, unique (cf [11],

proposition 1.1.2.2). More over a simplicial set is isomorphic to the nerve of a category $\mathbf{C}$ if and only if the lifting 6.1 exists and it is unique.

Lemma 6.2. *A category $\mathbf{C}$ is a groupoid iff $N_\bullet \mathbf{C}$ is a Kan complex.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a groupoid, then $N_\bullet \mathbf{C}$ admit a lifting with respect to $\Lambda_n^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ and $\Lambda_0^n \rightarrow \Delta^n$ simply because all arrows in $\mathbf{C}$ are invertible. So $N_\bullet \mathbf{C}$ is a Kan complex. If $N_\bullet \mathbf{C}$ is a Kan complex, we have a lifting with respect to $\Lambda_2^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$. That means, every diagram in $\mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & x \\ & \nearrow f & \downarrow id \\ y & \xrightarrow{g} & x \end{array}$$

can be completed by a unique arrow $f : y \rightarrow x$, so g is right invertible. We show that g is left invertible using the lifting property with respect to $\Lambda_0^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$. So $\mathbf{C}$ is a groupoid. $\square$

The precedent lemma suggest us a definition for an ∞ -groupode.

Definition 6.3. An ∞ -category (quasi-category) X is an ∞ -groupoid (quasi-groupoid) if it is a Kan complex.

Example 6.4. Let Y be a topological space, the simplicial set $\text{sing}Y$ is a Kan complex. so we can see every topological space as an ∞ -groupoid.

Theorem 6.5. [9] (section 6.3) *The category $\mathbf{sSet}$ admit a model structure where the cofibrations are the monomorphisms, the fibrant objects are the quasi-categories, the fibrations are the pseudo-fibrations and the weak equivalences are the categorical equivalences. This is a cartesian cosed model structure. This new structure is noted by $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$.*

We don't know if the this new model structure is cofibrantly generated! We will explain later what we mean by categorical equivalences, but we don't describe explicitly the pseudo-fibration. For each quasi-category X (fibrant object in $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$), we can associate its homotopy category (in a classical sens) noted $\text{Ho}X$. This theory was developed by Joyal, see for example [9].

7. SOME QUILLEN ADJUNCTIONS

In this paragraph, we describe different Quillen adjunction between $\mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat}$, $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$ and $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{K})$.

7.1. $\mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat}$ vs $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$. The first adjunction is described in details in [11]. We start by some analogies between classical categories ann simplicial sets.

$$\mathbf{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tau} \\ \xleftarrow{N_\bullet} \end{array} \mathbf{Cat},$$

The right adjoint is the nerve and the left adjoint associate to each simplicial set its fundamental category. Note that this adjunction is not a Quillen adjunction for the two known model structure on $\mathbf{Cat}$ (Thomason structure and Joyal structure). We remind the nerve functor is fully faithful and $\tau N_\bullet = id$. The basic idea is to "extend" this adjunction to an adjunction between $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$ and the category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$. If we use the standard nerve for the enriched categories on simplicial

sets, by remembering only the 0-simplices, the we loose all the higher homotopical information. Because of that, we use an other strategy. First we define a left adjoint as follow

$$\Xi : (\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat}$$

On Δ^n , then we apply the left Kan extension.

Definition 7.1. [11] (1.1.5.1) The enriched category $\Xi(\Delta^n)$ has as objects the 0-simplices of Δ^n , and

$$\Xi(\Delta^n)(i, j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{N}_\bullet P_{i,j} & \text{if } i \leq j \\ \emptyset & \text{if } i > j \end{cases}$$

Where $P_{i,j}$ is the set partially ordered by inclusion:

$$\{I \subseteq J : (i, j \in I) \wedge (\forall k \in I)[i \leq k \leq j]\}.$$

Definition 7.2. The right adjoint to the functor Ξ is called the coherent nerve and noted by $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_\bullet$. It is defined by the following formula:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_n \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_\bullet \mathbf{C}) := \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat}}(\Xi(\Delta^n), \mathbf{C}).$$

Now, we can define the categorical equivalences used in the model structure $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$. We call a morphism of simplicial sets $f : X \rightarrow Y$ une categorical equivalence if $\Xi(f) : \Xi(X) \rightarrow \Xi(Y)$ is an equivalence of enriched categories, i.e., if $\mathbf{Map}_{\Xi(X)}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\Xi(Y)}(\Xi(f)a, \Xi(f)b)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for all a, b and $\pi_0 \Xi(f) : \pi_0 \Xi(X) \rightarrow \pi_0 \Xi(Y)$ is a equivalence of classical categories.

Theorem 7.3. *The following adjunction is a Quillen equivalence between the Joyal model structure $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$ [9], and the model category on $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ defined in [3]*

$$\mathbf{sSet} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\Xi} \\ \xleftarrow{\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_\bullet} \end{matrix} \mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat}.$$

For the proof we refer to [11] theorem 2.2.5.1.

Corollary 7.4. Let $\mathbf{C}$ an enriched category on Kan complexes, then the counity

$$\Xi \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_\bullet \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

is a weak equivalence of enriched categories.

7.2. $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$ vs $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{K})$. In this paragraph, we describe the Quillen adjunction Between Joyal model structure on simplicial sets and the classical model structure on $\mathbf{sSet}$ which we note by $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{K})$, $\mathbf{K}$ for Kan complexes.

Definition 7.5. The functor $k : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ is defined by $k[n] = \widetilde{\Delta}^n$ for all $n \geq$, where $\widetilde{\Delta}^n$ is the nerve of the free groupoid generated by the category $[n]$. If X is a simplicial set, we define the functor $k^! : \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ by :

$$k^!(X)_n = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\widetilde{\Delta}^n, X).$$

The functor $k^!$ has a left adjoint $k_!$ which is the left Kan extension of k . From the inclusion $\Delta^n \subset \widetilde{\Delta}^n$ we obtain, for all n , a set morphism $k^!(X)_n \rightarrow X_n$ which is n -level of a simplicial morphism $\beta_X : k^!(X) \rightarrow X$. More precisely, $\beta : k^! \rightarrow id$ is a natural transformation. Dually, we define a natural transformation $\alpha : id \rightarrow k_!$

Theorem 7.6. *The adjoint functors*

$$(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Kan}) \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{k_!} \\ \xleftarrow{k^!} \end{matrix} (\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q}).$$

is a Quillen adjunction. More over, $\alpha_X : X \rightarrow k_!(X)$ is an equivalence for each X .

Proof. For the proof, see ([9], 6.22). $\square$

7.3. ∞ -groupoids. In this paragraph, we define a notion of groupoid for categories enriched on simplicial sets or topological spaces, Which we compare with the notion of ∞ -groupoid defined for quasi-categories.

Definition 7.7. An enriched category $\mathbf{C}$ on $\mathbf{sSet}$ (or $\mathbf{Top}$) is an ∞ -groupode if $\pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a groupoid in the classical sense of categories. If $\mathbf{C}$ is enriched on $\mathbf{sSet}$ ($\mathbf{Top}$), the ∞ -groupoid $\mathbf{C}'$ associated to $\mathbf{C}$ is a fibred product in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ (or $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}' = \text{iso}\pi_0 \mathbf{C} \times_{\pi_0 \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{iso}\pi_0 \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \mathbf{C}. \end{array}$$

We remark that the functor $\pi_0 : \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ is a left adjoint, so it does not commute with limits in general. But the evident projection $pr : \pi_0 \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \text{iso}\pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is an isomorphism. In fact, if w_1 and w_2 are weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, b)$ and h is a homotopy between them (i.e. un 1-simplex in $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, b)$ such that the borders are w_1, w_2) Then h is also a homotopy in $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}'}(a, b)$. This prove that the projection pr is fully faithful. the essential surjectivity of pr est evident.

We note by G the functor which associate to $\mathbf{C}$ its ∞ -groupoid $\mathbf{C}'$. The full subcategory of $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ of ∞ -groupoids is noted by $\mathbf{Grp}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$.

Lemma 7.8. *The functor $G : \mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Grp}$ is the right adjoint of the inclusion, i.e.,*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathbf{Grp}_{\mathbf{sSet}}}(\mathbf{C}, G\mathbf{D}) = \text{hom}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D})$$

$\forall \mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Grp}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$.

Remark 7.9. We can do the same thing for $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an ∞ -groupoid and let $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{sSet} - \mathbf{Cat}$. A morphism $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ define in a unique way an adjoint morphism $g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow G\mathbf{D}$ given by the universal map

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{C} & & & & \\ & \searrow \phi & \searrow f & & \\ & & G\mathbf{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D} \\ & \downarrow q & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0 \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0 f} & \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{D} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \mathbf{D} \end{array}$$

The morphism $\phi = \pi_0 f \circ q$ exists and make the diagram commuting, since $\mathbf{C}$ is an ∞ -groupoid. $\square$

Let $[n]'$ denote the groupoid freely generated by the category $[n]$. An example of ∞ -groupoid is the category $\Xi k_! \Delta^n$. In fact, $\Xi k_! \Delta^n = \Xi N_{\bullet}[n]' \rightarrow [n]'$ is a weak categorical equivalence and $[n]'$ is fibrant. Since $[n]'$ is a groupoid groupoid, then $\pi_0 \Xi k_! \Delta^n$ is also a groupoid.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ a fibrant category enriched on $\mathbf{sSet}$, then $k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C} = k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}'$, where $\mathbf{C}'$ is an ∞ -groupoid associated to $\mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. Using the precedent adjunctions, we have for all $n \geq 0$

$$(7.1) \quad (k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C})_n = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C})$$

$$(7.2) \quad = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(k_! \Delta^n, \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C})$$

$$(7.3) \quad = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet-Cat}}(\Xi k_! \Delta^n, \mathbf{C})$$

But $\Xi k_! \Delta^n$ is an ∞ -groupoid, so

$$(7.4) \quad \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet-Cat}}(\Xi k_! \Delta^n, \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet-Grp}}(\Xi k_! \Delta^n, \mathbf{C}')$$

$$(7.5) \quad = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet-Cat}}(\Xi k_! \Delta^n, \mathbf{C}')$$

$$(7.6) \quad = \mathbf{hom}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta^n, k^! \widetilde{N} \mathbf{C}')$$

$$(7.7) \quad = (k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}')_n$$

we conclude that $k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}' = k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}$. $\square$

Definition 7.11. [3] In Bergner's model structure on $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ [3] a morphism $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a fibration if

- (1) $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(Fa, Fb)$ is a fibration of simplicial sets for all $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (2) F has a lifting property of weak equivalences, i.e. it is Grothendieck fibration for weak equivalences.

Corollary 7.12. Let $\mathbf{C}'$ the ∞ -groupoid associated to the enriched category $\mathbf{C}$ over Kan complexes (or $\mathbf{Top}$), then

$$\widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow N_{\bullet} \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$$

pseudo-fibration (cf. [9]) in $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$.

Proof. Remark that if $\mathbf{C}$ is fibrant, then $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a fibration. The Bergner's model structure is right proper so $\mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is also a fibration. More over, the groupoid $\text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is fibrant, and so $\mathbf{C}'$ is. Consequently $\widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a pseudo-fibration in the category $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$, So a pseudo fibration between quasi-categories.

But the category $\pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a "constant" simplicial category, so $\widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C} = N_{\bullet} \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$. We conclude that $\widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow N_{\bullet} \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a pseudo-fibration between quasi-category and a Kan complex, see 6.2. $\square$

Let X a quasi-category, Joyal defined the homotopy category $\text{Ho}(X)$ which is a category in the classical sens. The 0-simplexes of X form the set of objects of $\text{Ho}(X)$ and the 1-simplexes (modulo the homotopy equivalence) form the morphisms of $\text{Ho}(X)$. An 1-simplexe in X is called an weak equivalence if it is represented in $\text{Ho}(X)$ by an isomorphisme.

Definition 7.13. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism between quasi-categories, and let w a 1-simplex in X , then p is called conservative if:

$$p(w) \text{ a weak equivalence in } Y \Rightarrow w \text{ a weak equivalence in } X.$$

Lemma 7.14. ([9], 4.30) *Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism between quasi-categories, such that p is a pseudo-fibration and conservative. If Y is a Kan complex, then X is.*

Lemma 7.15. *Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ fibrant, then $\widetilde{N}\mathbf{C}'$ is a Kan complex, where $\mathbf{C}'$ is the ∞ -groupoid associated to $\mathbf{C}$.*

Proof. We have seen by the corollary 7.12 that if $\mathbf{C}$ is fibrant, then $\widetilde{N}_\bullet \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow N_\bullet \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a pseudo-fibration between quasi-categories, and $N_\bullet \text{iso } \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a Kan complex. We must verify that the morphism is conservative, which is an evident fact because all 0-simplices of $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}'}(a, b)$ are weak equivalences by definition. By the lemma 7.14, we conclude that $\widetilde{N}_\bullet \mathbf{C}'$ is a Kan complex. $\square$

In [9] (Theorem 4.19), Joyal construct an adjunction between Kan complexes and quasi-categories. If we note by $\mathbf{Kan}$ The full subcategory of $\mathbf{sSet}$ of Kan complexes, and by $\mathbf{QCat}$ The full subcategory of $\mathbf{sSet}$ of quasi-categories, then the inclusion $\mathbf{Kan} \subset \mathbf{QCat}$ admit a right adjoint noted by J . The functor can be interpreted as follow: for each quasi-category X , $J(X)$ is the quasi-groupoid associated to X , and if X is a Kan complex, then $J(X) = X$.

Lemma 7.16. *Let X a quasi-category (a fibrant object) in $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$. The natural transformation $\beta_X : k^!(X) \rightarrow X$ is factored by $\beta_X : k^!(X) \rightarrow J(X) \subset X$. More over, $\beta_X : k^!(X) \rightarrow J(X)$ is a trivial Kan fibration.*

Proof. See [9], proposition 6.26. $\square$

Corollary 7.17. Let a fibrant category $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$, and $G\mathbf{C}$ the associated ∞ -groupoid. Then $k^! \widetilde{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C})$ is a trivial Kan fibration.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{C}$ is fibrant, we have seen that $k^! \widetilde{N}_\bullet(\mathbf{C}) = k^! \widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C})$, and by the precedent lemma $k^! \widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow J(\widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C}))$ is a trivial Kan fibration. But $\widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C})$ is a Kan complex, since $G\mathbf{C}$ is a fibrant ∞ -groupoid, so $J(\widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C})) = \widetilde{N}_\bullet(G\mathbf{C})$. $\square$

Now, we can see the analogy between $N_\bullet \text{iso}$ in the case of classical categories and the functor $k^! \widetilde{N}_\bullet$ in the case of enriched categories over $\mathbf{sSet}$. In fact, if $\mathbf{C}$ is a classical category, then the functor iso sends $\mathbf{C}$ to its associated groupoid $G\mathbf{C}$ and so $N_\bullet \text{iso } \mathbf{C} = N_\bullet G\mathbf{C}$. If $\mathbf{C}$ is a category enriched over Kan complexes, (i.e., $\mathbf{C}$ is fibrant in Bergner's model structure), then the simplicial set $k^! \widetilde{N}_\bullet \mathbf{C}$ is equivalent to $\widetilde{N}_\bullet G\mathbf{C}$ by the corollary 7.17.

8. MAPPING SPACE

The goal of this section is to describe the mapping space of the model category $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. Before making progress in this direction, we need some introduction to different model on $\mathbf{sSet}$.

Notation 8.1. We will note the category of simplicial sets with Kan model structure by $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{K})$. The Joyal model structure of quasi-categories will be noted by $(\mathbf{sSet}, \mathbf{Q})$.

Theorem 8.2. *[[4], theorem 2.12.] Let a Quillen adjunction of Quillen model categories :*

$$\mathbf{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{G} \\ \xleftarrow{F} \end{array} \mathbf{D}.$$

Then there is a natural isomorphisme

$$\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{C}}(a, RFb) \rightarrow \mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{D}}(LGa, b)$$

in $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{sSet})$

8.1. Mapping space in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$. In this paragraph, we compute $\mathbf{map}$ for the model categories $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{C}$ is a small enriched category on $\mathbf{Top}$. We define the coherent nerve of $\mathbf{C}$ by $\widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{sing} \mathbf{C}$, and we define the corresponding ∞ -groupoid $\mathbf{C}'$ by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G\mathbf{C} = \mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} \times_{\pi_0 \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \mathbf{C} \end{array}$$

By applying the functor sing to this diagram, we obtain also a pullback diagram since sing is a right adjoint. We note that $\mathrm{sing} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} = \pi_0 \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C} = \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathrm{sing} \mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} = \mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} = \mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C} = \mathrm{sing}(\mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} \times_{\pi_0 \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{sing} \mathrm{iso} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{sing} \pi_0 \mathbf{C} \end{array}$$

We conclude that

$$\mathrm{sing} G\mathbf{C} = G \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C}.$$

More over $k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{C}$ is weak equivalent to $\widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathrm{sing} G\mathbf{C}$. The homotopy type of the mapping space $\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}}(*, \mathbf{C})$ is computed easily using the theorem 8.2, and the adjunction

$$\mathbf{sSet} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Xi k_!} \\ \xleftarrow{k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet}} \end{array} \mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}.$$

We conclude that for every (fibrant) small category enriched on $\mathbf{sSet}$, we have the following isomorphism in $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathbf{sSet})$

$$k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C} \sim \mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(*, k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{C}) \sim \mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}}(*, \mathbf{C})$$

and by the same way, if $\mathbf{D}$ is a small category enriched on $\mathbf{Top}$, then

$$\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}}(*, \mathbf{D}) \sim k^! \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{D}.$$

by the corollary 7.17, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}}(*, \mathbf{C}) \sim \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} G\mathbf{C}.$$

et

$$\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}}(*, \mathbf{D}) \sim \widetilde{N}_{\bullet} G \mathrm{sing} \mathbf{D}.$$

In the classical setting of $\mathbf{Cat}$, we know that $\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) \sim \mathbf{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{iso} \mathbf{HOM}_{\mathbf{Cat}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$. If $\mathbf{A}$ is the terminal category $*$, then $\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}}(*, \mathbf{B}) \sim \mathbf{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{iso} \mathbf{B}$. More generally, we have that:

$$\mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}}(|\Xi k!(A)|, \mathbf{C}) \sim \mathbf{map}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(A, k^! \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\bullet} \mathbf{sing} \mathbf{C}) \sim \mathbf{Map}(A, \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\bullet} G \mathbf{sing} \mathbf{C}),$$

where $\mathbf{Map}$ is the right adjoint functor to the cartesian product in $\mathbf{sSet}$. Now, the similarity between $\mathbf{Cat}$ and $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{sSet}}$ is evident.

9. LOCALIZATION

In this paragraph we show how to construct localization for a topological category with respect to a morphism or a set of morphisms. In the classical setting of small categories we know how to define the localization in a functorial way. The idea is quite simple, let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}$ and f a morphism in $\mathbf{C}$, we want to define a functor $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{C}$ and having the following universal property: if $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ is a functor such that $F(f)$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{D}$ then there is a unique factorization of F as

$$\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}.$$

Notation 9.1. In this section, the category with two objects x and y and with one non trivial morphism from x to y will be denoted $\mathbf{A}$.

The category with the same objects x and y and an isomorphism from x to y (resp. from y to x) will be denoted $\mathbf{B}$.

Lemma 9.2. *The category $\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{C}$ is isomorphic to following pushout in $\mathbf{Cat}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C} \\ \text{inc} \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M} \end{array}$$

Where inc is the evident inclusion and f sends the unique arrow in $\mathbf{A}$ to the morphism f in $\mathbf{C}$.

Proof. Suppose that we have a functor $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ such that the morphism f is sent to an isomorphism. It induce a functor from $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$. By the pushout property we have a unique functor from $\mathbf{M}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ which factors the functor F . So $\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{M}$. $\square$

Corollary 9.3. For any set S of morphism in $\mathbf{C}$ the category $\mathbf{L}_S \mathbf{C}$ exist and it is unique up to isomorphism.

Now, we are interested for the same construction in the enriched setting $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. The main difference with the classical case is the the existence, we will construct a functorial model for the localization up to homotopy.

Notation 9.4. We denote by $\mathbf{A}^h$ the topological category $|\Xi \mathbf{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{A}|$ and by $\mathbf{B}^h$ the category $|\Xi \mathbf{N}_{\bullet} \mathbf{B}|$

choosing a morphism f in a topological category $\mathbf{C}$ we want to construct a category $\mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{C}$ with the following property: given a morphism $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$ such that $F(f)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{D}$ then F is factored (unique up to homotopy) as

$$\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_f \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$$

Lemma 9.5. *The category $L_f \mathbf{C}$ could be taken as following pushout in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}^h & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{C} \\ \text{inc} \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \mathbf{B}^h & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{M} \end{array}$$

More over $\pi_0 \mathbf{C} \rightarrow L_{\pi_0(f)} \pi_0 \mathbf{C}$ is a localization in $\mathbf{Cat}$.

Proof. First, we note that the inclusion inc is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Cat}_{\mathbf{Top}}$. The functor $\mathbf{A}^h \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is constructed as follow: Let $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ which sends the only nontrivial morphism of $\mathbf{A}$ to $f \in \mathbf{C}$. It induces a map of simplicial sets $N_\bullet \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}_\bullet \text{sing} \mathbf{C}$ and by adjunction a functor $|\Xi N_\bullet \mathbf{A}| \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ which is the functor noted $f : \mathbf{A}^h \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ in the diagram. The functor $\text{inc} : \mathbf{A}^h \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^h$ is induced by the functor $\text{inc} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. Now suppose that we have a functor $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ which sends f to a weak equivalence in $\mathbf{D}$. The induced functor $\mathbf{A}^h \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ factors by $\mathbf{A}^h \rightarrow G\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ where $G\mathbf{D}$ is the associated groupoid of $\mathbf{D}$ as seen in previews section. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}^h & \longrightarrow & G\mathbf{D} \\ \text{inc} \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\ \mathbf{B}^h & \longrightarrow & \star \end{array}$$

and using the adjunctions we have a corresponding diagram in $\mathbf{sSet}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_\bullet \mathbf{A} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{N}_\bullet \text{sing} G\mathbf{D} \\ \text{inc}' \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ N_\bullet \mathbf{B} & \longrightarrow & \star \end{array}$$

But now $\text{sing} G\mathbf{D}$ is a Kan complex see 7.15 and inc' is a trivial cofibration in $\mathbf{sSet}$, so there exist a lifting (not unique) $N_\bullet \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \text{sing} G\mathbf{D}$. By adjunction we have a lifting $\mathbf{B}^h \rightarrow G\mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$. So we can define unique morphism (up to homotopy) $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ and any functor $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ as before factors (uniquely up to homotopy) by $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$. So a functorial model for $L_f \mathbf{C}$ is $\mathbf{M}$ and the localisation map $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow L_f \mathbf{C}$ is a cofibration and in fact an inclusion of enriched categories. $\square$

Corollary 9.6. For any set S of morphism in a topological category $\mathbf{C}$, the topological category $L_S \mathbf{C}$ exist and it is unique up to homotopy. More over the localization map $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow L_S \mathbf{C}$ is a cofibration.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Amrani. Categories simpliciales enrichies et k-theorie de waldhausen. *PhD thesis*, 2010.
- [2] I. Amrani. Model structure the category of strict (symmetric) monoidal enriched categories. *in preparation*, 2011.
- [3] J.E. Bergner. A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories. *Transactions-American Mathematical Society*, 359(5):2043, 2007.
- [4] W.G. Dwyer and K. Hess. Long knots and maps between operads. *Arxiv preprint arXiv:1006.0874*, 2010.
- [5] W.G. Dwyer and D.M. Kan. Simplicial localizations of categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 17(3):267–284, 1980.

- [6] AD Elmendorf, I. Kriz, MA Mandell, JP May, and M. Cole. *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*. American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [7] P.G. Goerss and JF Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*. Birkhauser, 1999.
- [8] M. Hovey. Model categories. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 63, 1999.
- [9] A. Joyal. Advanced course on simplicial methods in higher categories. *CRM*, 2008.
- [10] S. Mac Lane. Categories for the working mathematician. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 1969.
- [11] J. Lurie. Higher topos theory. *Arxiv preprint math.CT/0608040*, 2006.
- [12] G. Segal. Categories and cohomology theories. *Topology*, 13(3):293–312, 1974.
- [13] K. Worytkiewicz, K. Hess, P.E. Parent, and A. Tonks. A model structurea la Thomason on 2-Cat. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 208(1):205–236, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASARYK UNIVERSITY, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: `ilias.amranifedotov@gmail.com`